Doc Code: AP.PRE.REQ 2006 Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respo	U.S. Patent ε and to a collection	and Trademark Office of information unless	
PRE-APPEAL BRIEF REQUEST FOR REV	/IE\ A /	Docket Number	
PRE-AFFEAL BRILL REGULOT I ON NEV	IEAA	I-2-0162.1U	JS
hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the nited States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail an envelope addressed to "Mail Stop AF, Commissioner for atents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450" [37 CFR 1.8(a)]		pplication Number Filed 09/845,803 April 30, 2001	
June 26, 2006	First Named	Inventor	
Signature Thom A Wattot	Zeira et al.		
	Art Unit		Examiner
Typed or printed Thomas A. Mattioli	2664		Raj K. Jain
·			
with this request. This request is being filed with a notice of appeal. The review is requested for the reason(s) stated on the atta Note: No more than five (5) pages may be provide		s).	
This request is being filed with a notice of appeal. The review is requested for the reason(s) stated on the atta Note: No more than five (5) pages may be provided	d.		
This request is being filed with a notice of appeal. The review is requested for the reason(s) stated on the atta Note: No more than five (5) pages may be provided	d.	s). In A- Mut	
This request is being filed with a notice of appeal. The review is requested for the reason(s) stated on the atta Note: No more than five (5) pages may be provided am the applicant/inventor. assignee of record of the entire interest.	d. J,/	on A- Mat	Signature
This request is being filed with a notice of appeal. The review is requested for the reason(s) stated on the atta Note: No more than five (5) pages may be provided am the	d. J,/	mas A. Mattioli	Signature
This request is being filed with a notice of appeal. The review is requested for the reason(s) stated on the atta Note: No more than five (5) pages may be provided am the am the applicant/inventor. assignee of record of the entire interest. See 37 CFR 3.71. Statement under 37 CFR 3.73(b) is enclosed. (Form PTO/SB/96)	d. <u>Jル</u> Thor	mas A. Mattioli	Signature i
This request is being filed with a notice of appeal. The review is requested for the reason(s) stated on the atta Note: No more than five (5) pages may be provided and the applicant/inventor. assignee of record of the entire interest. See 37 CFR 3.71. Statement under 37 CFR 3.73(b) is enclosed. (Form PTO/SB/96)	d. <u>Jル</u> Thor	mas A. Mattioli Typed	Signature i
This request is being filed with a notice of appeal. The review is requested for the reason(s) stated on the atta Note: No more than five (5) pages may be provided and the applicant/inventor. assignee of record of the entire interest. See 37 CFR 3.71. Statement under 37 CFR 3.73(b) is enclosed. (Form PTO/SB/96) attorney or agent of record. 56.773	d. <u> </u>	mas A. Mattioli Typed	Signature i d or printed name

This collection of information is required by 35 U.S.C. 132. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11, 1.14 and 41.6. This collection is estimated to take 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Mail Stop AF, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Submit multiple forms if more than one signature is required, see below*.

forms are submitted.

*Total of



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In the **PATENT APPLICATION** of:

Zeira et al.

Application No.: 09/845,803

Confirmation No.: 3229

Filed:

April 30, 2001

For: DOWNLINK POWER CONTROL FOR MULTIPLE DOWNLINK TIME SLOTS IN TDD COMMUNICATION SYSTEMS

Group:

2664

Examiner:

Raj K. Jain

Our File:

I-2-0162.1US

Date:

June 26, 2006

REASONS FOR PRE-APPEAL BRIEF REQUEST FOR REVIEW

Mail Stop AF Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

This Communication is being filed in response to the Final Office Action dated January 24, 2006 and is submitted in conjunction with an appropriate petition for extension of time.

A Pre-Appeal Brief Review is hereby requested in the above application for the following reasons:

In the Office Action, claims 22 – 39 were finally rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over U. S. Patent Publication No. 2002/0016177 (Miya et al.) in view of U. S. Reference No. 6,035,210 (Endo et al.).

In order to establish a prima facie case of obviousness, the Examiner must demonstrate there is a suggestion or motivation, either in the references themselves, or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art, to modify the references or to combine the reference teachings. Furthermore, the prior art references must teach or suggest all of the claim features. Examiner is not free to pick bits and pieces from the prior art and, with the hindsight benefit of the Applicant's disclosure, attempt to reconstruct the invention. Orthopedic Equipment Inc. v. U.S., 217 U.S.P.Q. 193, 199 (Fed. Cir. 1983).

Figures 2 and 5 of Miya as cited by the Examiner, and their corresponding description, refer to setting the transmission power level of the mobile station and not either the downlink power level or the transmit power level of a base station. Miya discloses exclusively the uplink transmit power of the mobile station. Miya illustrates an *individual* setting of power level for each timeslot using transmit power commands (TPC) for that timeslot or using a single to interference ratio (SIR) measurement of that timeslot.

The present claims send a power command for a CCTrCH which includes a

plurality of time slots. Nowhere in the Miya reference is it disclosed, suggested, or

taught to send a power command for a CCTrCH which includes a plurality of time

slots. Indeed, Miya teaches away from the Applicants' claimed invention by dealing

with this scenario by sending individual TPC for each timeslot. The present claims

use a power command for the CCTrCH and an interference measurement for each

timeslot. Nowhere in Miya is this arrangement disclosed, suggested, or taught.

Endo is relied upon by the Examiner as disclosing the transmission of

interference measurements. However, since Miya uses individual timeslot TPC, it

is completely non-obvious why a person of ordinary skill in the art would use an

interference measurement in addition to the TPC. In fact, a person of ordinary skill

in the art would not consider combining the Endo reference with the Miya

reference. Additionally, the Endo reference fails to cure the previously stated

deficiencies of the Miya reference.

Accordingly, applicants respectfully submit that the claims are allowable over

the Miya and Endo references, whether taken alone, or in combination with one

another.

- 3 -

Applicant: Zeira et al. Application No.: 09/845,803

In view of the foregoing remarks, Applicants respectfully request a Pre-Appeal Brief Review and a notice to that effect is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Zeira et al.

By Un A-Mattioli
Thomas A. Mattioli

Registration No. 56,773

Volpe and Koenig, P.C. United Plaza, Suite 1600 30 South 17th Street Philadelphia, PA 19103 Telephone: (215) 568-6400

Facsimile: (215) 568-6499

TAM/yil